

Citizens Advisory Team Draft Technical Report Summary

Economic Impacts

Why study economic impacts in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)?

Construction and operation of a freeway like the proposed South Mountain Freeway could alter economic characteristics in the Study Area. Such a project could affect where people live and work, travel patterns, delivery of public services and public sector revenues.

What kind of impacts would occur from construction?

- Residences, businesses and public facilities could be acquired and relocated.
- Changes in accessibility along the new facility could affect properties adjacent to the highway by altering travel patterns.
- Employment levels in the local area could be affected.
- Acquisition of potentially developable land could reduce local government revenues.
- Such a project could reduce auto and truck travel times throughout the region and enhance the movement of goods and delivery of services.

How do the action alternatives differ in construction-related impacts?

All action alternatives would cause acquisition and relocation of residences and businesses, but the number of these impacts would vary. The numbers of potentially affected businesses and associated employees, by alternative, as a result of land acquisition for the South Mountain Freeway are shown in the following table.

Potential Displacements

Alternative/Option	Number of Businesses	Number of Employees		
Western Section				
W55	119	1,500+		
W71	10	630+		
W101, Western Option	3	70+		
W101, Central Option	6	900+		
W101, Eastern Option	5	900+		
Eastern Section				
E1	0 ^a	0		

^a Churches were included in the community facility category not businesses.



Citizens Advisory Team Draft Technical Report Summary

Economic Impacts

The Western Section of the Study Area is becoming more urban as one moves eastward. The W55 Alternative is the easternmost alternative; it would cause the most business displacements and would also affect the greatest number of employees.

What kinds of freeway operational impacts (postconstruction) would occur?

Overall, the freeway would allow for faster and smoother transportation flow in the Phoenix metropolitan area by improving the regional freeway system operation. To determine the resulting benefits, the time savings of people who would use the regional freeway system after construction of the South Mountain Freeway were evaluated. An economic loss when a person is delayed due to traffic congestion is often considered a time tax.

Real costs are associated with travel time: productivity, worker availability, freight inventory, logistics, just-in-time production and market access. To estimate the total value of decreased time spent in congestion after implementation of an action alternative, the value per person-hour spent in congestion was estimated. Factors considered included:

- average household income levels
- amount of local, inter city and truck travel
- distribution of personal and business travel

For analytical purposes, the proposed South Mountain Freeway was assumed to be fully operational in 2016. Highway engineers use a target year (the design year) for which projected traffic volumes can be accommodated with their designs. For the South Mountain Freeway, that year is 2030. Since the E1 Alternative is the only action alternative in the Eastern Section, it is logical to assume that it will be common to each action alternative in the Western Section. Therefore, it is included within this discussion from logical terminus to logical terminus. The project team's analysis showed that the traveling public's time saved for the W55 Alternative would be approximately \$5.9 billion from 2016 to 2030. The value of travel time savings for the W71 Alternative and the W101 Alternative and Options would be about \$6.0 billion and \$6.3 billion, respectively, from 2016 to 2030. These benefits compare favorably with the estimated total construction cost of \$1.7 billion. The travel time savings benefit is valued at over \$400 million per year if the South Mountain Freeway were to be constructed.

How do the action alternatives differ in operational impacts?

All alternatives, when operating, would have similar kinds and levels of impacts on economic resources. Differences in travel time impacts would be primarily between the No-Action Alternative and the action alternatives because all would be designed to accomplish the same objective in the region—reduced congestion and travel times. There is, however, a slight difference in travel time savings among the action alternatives and options. In 2030, travel time savings for the W55 Alternative are expected to exceed 107 million hours annually, time savings for W71 Alternative are estimated to exceed 106 million hours annually, and the average time savings for the W101 Alternative and Options are expected to exceed 112 million hours annually.



Citizens Advisory Team Draft Technical Report Summary

Economic Impacts

What if the project were not constructed?

No project-specific impacts would be experienced. However, urban growth is projected to continue in the Western Section of the Study Area. As indicated above, if the South Mountain Freeway were constructed, the savings from reduced travel times is estimated to be over \$400 million per year. If the freeway were not constructed, the savings would be foregone (i.e., a cost to the public) and the travel time benefit forfeited. Increased traffic congestion on surface streets and nearby freeways would adversely affect the traveling public and trucking and other transportation-related activities in the Study Area.

Are there any specific and/or unique impacts from implementation of any of the action alternatives?

The W71 and W101 alternatives could affect manufacturing businesses which would need to be relocated without interruption of operations. There are no known unique businesses affected by the W55 Alternative.

What could the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) do to reduce or avoid impacts?

Through adjustments to freeway design, ADOT could reduce residential and business displacements. Current alignment designs represent some adjustments that have already been incorporated to reduce or avoid impacts.

Reductions in local tax revenues resulting from land purchased by a public agency, such as ADOT, are generally not mitigated. However, the combination of business benefits and travel time and other benefits to the traveling public are anticipated to offset these impacts.

What could ADOT do to reduce construction impacts?

ADOT would look at a number of ways to avoid or reduce construction-related impacts. Examples of some of the measures that ADOT could undertake are:

- maintain access to businesses, neighborhoods and public facilities during construction
- schedule timing of construction activities to minimize economic impacts

What could ADOT do to reduce economic impacts once the freeway were operational?

Once operational, any residential and business displacement impacts and tax base impacts would have already occurred.



Citizens Advisory Team Draft Technical Report Summary

Economic Impacts

Are the conclusions presented in this summary final?

Quantitative findings relative to impacts could change. Potential changes would be based on outcomes related to the following issues and will be presented to the public as part of publication of the Draft EIS, Final EIS and, if an action alternative were selected, in the final design process. The issues include:

- refinement in design features through the design process
- updated aerial photography as it relates to rapid growth in the Western Section of the Study Area
- ongoing communications with the City of Phoenix regarding measures to minimize harm to Phoenix South Mountain Park/Preserve
- ongoing communications with the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) regarding granting permission to study action alternatives on GRIC land
- ongoing consideration of public comments
- potential updates to traffic forecasts as regularly revised by the Maricopa Association of Governments
- potential changes regarding updated census data
- regularly updated cost estimates for construction, right-of-way acquisition, relocation and mitigation

Even with these factors possibly affecting findings, the study team anticipates effects would be equal among the alternatives and, consequently, impacts would be roughly comparable. This assumption would be confirmed if, and when, such changes were to occur.

As a member of the Citizens Advisory Team, how can you review the entire technical report?

The complete technical report is available for review by making an appointment with Mike Bruder at 602-712-6836 or Mark Hollowell at 602-712-6819.



Citizens Advisory Team
Draft Technical Report Summary

Economic Impacts

Table 1. Reductions in local annual property tax revenues resulting from right-of-way acquisition, existing land use

acquisition,	chisting iai	iu use					
Action Alternative/ Option	Agriculture	Commercial	Industrial	Single-family	Multifamily	Vacant	Totals
Phoenix							
Western Sectio	n						
W55	\$9,238	\$1,591	\$372,261	\$34,857	\$—	\$17,028	\$434,975
W71	10,836	_	327,589	128,776	_	28,817	496,018
W101 and Options ^a	14,126 to 15,929	_	59,562 to 67,007	50,348 to 76,491		20,812 to 32,019	153,916 to 180,419
Eastern Section	า						
E1	\$3,141	\$—	\$24,817	\$105,538	\$3,421	\$62,728	\$199,646
Tolleson							
Western Sectio	n						
W55	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
W71		_	_			_	_
W101 and Options	1,673 to 2,240		124,807 to 205,984			1,892 to 8,441	134,629 to 208,097
Eastern Section	า						
E1	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Avondale							
Western Sectio	n						
W55	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
W71	_	_	_	_	_	_	
W101 and Options	1 ^b	5,113	_	_	_		5,114
Eastern Section	า						
E1	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Notes:	-				-		

Notes:

^a W101 Alternative and Options include ranges because of design options.

b These amounts for Avondale are negligible because the areas of impact are less than 1 acre.

Table 2. Reductions in annual retail sales tax revenues resulting from right-of-way acquisition, existing conditions

acquisition,	existing co	onditions					acquisition, existing conditions										
Action Alternative/ Option	Agriculture	Commercial	Industrial	Single-family	Multifamily	Vacant	Totals										
Phoenix																	
Western Section	on																
W55	\$—	\$31,559	\$1,276,090	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$1,307,649										
W71	_		1,122,959	_		_	1,122,959										
W101 and Options ^a	_		204,174 to 229,698	_		_	204,174 to 229,698										
Eastern Section	on																
E1	\$—	\$—	\$ 85,073	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$85,073										
Tolleson																	
Western Section	on																
W55	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—										
W71	_	_	_	_	_	_	_										
W101 and Options	_	_	590,783 to 980,699	_	_	_	590,783 to 980,699										
Eastern Section	on																
E1	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—										
Avondale																	
Western Section	on																
W55	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—										
W71	_	_	_	_	_	_	_										
W101 and Options	_	112,712	_	_	_	_	112,712										
Eastern Section	on																
E1	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—										
Notes: a W101 Alternativ	e and Options ir	nclude ranges b	ecause of design	n options.													

Table 3. Reductions in local annual property tax revenues resulting from right-of-way acquisition, future land use

acquisition, future land use										
Action Alternative/ Option	Agriculture	Commercial	Industrial	Single-family	Multifamily	Vacant	Totals			
Phoenix										
Western Section										
W55	\$—	\$488,600	\$590,654	\$268,202	\$86,671	\$ —	\$1,434,127			
W71		343,156	583,208	790,084	12,545		1,728,993			
W101 and Options ^a	_	368,154 to 522,688	196,057 to 265,546	812,353 to 921,764	0 to 4,562		1,482,775 to 1,609,022			
Eastern Section										
E1	\$—	\$229,528	\$37,226	\$336,948	\$9,123	\$—	\$612,825			
Tolleson										
Western Section										
W55	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—			
W71			_				_			
W101 and Options	_	362,723 to 375,813	256,835 to 377,373	49,283, 49,419	_	_	646,931 to 802,469			
Eastern Section										
E1	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—			
Avondale										
Western Section										
W55	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—			
W71	_		_				_			
W101 and Options	_	65,381 to 90,425	_	_	4,892		70,274 to 95,317			
Eastern Section	1									
E1	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—			
Notes: a W101 Alternative										

Table 4. Reductions in annual retail sales tax revenues resulting from right-ofway acquisition, future land use

way acquisit	ion, rut	ure ianu use					way acquisition, future land use										
Action Alternative/ Option	Agriculture	Commercial	Commercial Industrial Single-family		Multifamily	Vacant	Totals										
Phoenix																	
Western Sectio	n																
W55	\$—	\$9,693,189	\$2,024,730	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$11,717,919										
W71		6,807,775	1,999,208	_	_	_	8,806,983										
W101 and Options ^a	_	7,303,705 to 10,369,458	714,611 to 910,278		_	_	8,026,823 to 11,279,736										
Eastern Section																	
E1	\$—	\$4,553,545	\$127,609	\$-	\$—	\$	\$4,681,154										
Tolleson																	
Western Sectio	n																
W55	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—										
W71	_	_	_	_	_	_	_										
W101 and Options	_	7,985,485 to 8,284,546	896,004 to 1,437,350				8,881,489 to 9,721,395										
Eastern Section	า																
E1	\$—	\$—	\$-	\$-	\$—	\$	\$_										
Avondale																	
Western Sectio	n																
W55	_	_	_	_	_	_	_										
W71	_	_	_	_	_		_										
W101 and Options	_	1,801,505 to 2,491,550		_	_	_	1,801,505 to 2,491,550										
Eastern Section	1																
E1	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—										
Notes: a W101 Alternative																	

 Table 5. Estimates of Phoenix's total tax revenue impacts

Property and sales tax combined, dollars/year									
Combined property a estimate	\$478,191,000								
City General Fund rev	enue, 2005–06 est	imate	\$922,162,000						
Action Alternative/Option	Percentage of current General Fund revenue								
Western Section									
W55	1,743,000	0.36	0.19						
W71	1,619,000	0.34	0.18						
W101 and Options ^a	366,600 to 410,100	0.08 to 0.09	0.04						
Eastern Section									
E1	E1 284,700 0.06 0.03								
Notes: a W101 Alternative and Options include ranges because of design options.									

Table 6. Estimates of Tolleson's total tax revenue impacts

Table 6. Estimates of Toneson's total tax revenue impacts									
Property and sales tax combined, dollars/year									
Combined property a estimate	\$5,963,350								
City General Fund rev	venue, 2005-06 esti	mate	\$8,171,610						
Action Alternative/Option	Percentage of current General Fund revenue								
Western Section									
W101 and Options ^a 682,100 to 802,500 11 to 13 9 to 10									
Notes: a W101 Alternative and Options include ranges because of design options.									

 Table 7. Estimates of Avondale's total tax revenue impacts

Property and sales tax combined, dollars/year										
Combined property a estimate	\$35,956,996									
City General Fund rev	\$39,485,300									
Action Alternative/Option	Percentage of current General Fund revenue									
Western Section	Western Section									
W101 and Options ^a	W101 and Options^a 0 to 118,000 0 to 0.33 0 to 0.30									
Notes: a W101 Alternative and Options include ranges because of design options.										

Table 8. Estimate of the value of motorists' time in dollars per hour

	Percent of person- hr in traffic ¹	Travel distribution percent ²		Total hours		Percent values of travel time ³		Local earn./hr rates ^{4,5,6}		Value of travel time		Weighted average local travel time
		Personal	Business	Personal	Business	Personal	Business	Personal	Business	Personal	Business	
Local travel	0.35	94.4	5.6	0.33	0.02	50	100	\$24.21	\$21.07	\$12.11	\$21.07	\$12.61
Intercity travel	0.55	86.9	13.1	0.48	0.07	70	100	\$24.21	\$21.07	\$16.95	\$21.07	\$17.49
Truck travel	0.10	100		0.10		100		\$22.19		\$22.19		\$22.19
		Т	otal we	ghted a	verage	time val	ue (per p	erson-hou	r)			\$16.25

- (1) Percent of person-hr. in traffic for travel on the SMTC is assumed to be 35 percent local travel; 55 percent inter-city travel; and 10 percent trucks.
- (2) Travel distribution percent: From the U.S. DOT; Derived from on-line analysis of PMT data from the 1995 Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey
- (3) Percent of person-hr in traffic for trucks on the roadway is from the MAG 2001 traffic counts on freeways in Study Area.
- (4) Personal local and intercity earnings/hour rates: The 2005 median household income for Maricopa County calculated by using the CPI Inflation Calculator available through the Bureau of Labor Statistics. There was a 1.11 inflation rate from 2000 to March 11, 2005. Assumed 2080 work hours per year.
- (5) Business local and intercity earnings/hour rates: Earnings per hour rates were retrieved from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics employer cost for employee compensation for U.S. Mountain Region workers in private industry. The most recent per hour data was collected (3rd Quarter 2004).
- (6) Truck drivers: Earnings per hour rates were retrieved from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics employer cost for employee compensation for the U.S Transportation and Material Moving sector. The most recent per hour data was collected (3rd Quarter 2004).

Table 9. Economic benefit of reduced regional traffic congestion due to action alternatives

Economic benefit associated with reduction Year reductions in delay compared with in traffic congestion **Economic benefit discounted** No-Action, hours per year (\$million/year) at 3 percent (\$million/year) W55 W71 W101 W55 W71 W101 W55 W71 W101 36,004,500 36,231,100 38,255,700 36,724,600 36,955,700 39,020,800 37,459,100 37,694,800 39,801,200 38,208,300 38,448,700 40,597,200 38,972,500 39,217,700 41,409,100 39,752,000 40,002,100 42,237,300 40,547,000 40,802,100 43,082,000 41,357,900 41,618,100 43,943,600 42,185,100 42,450,500 44,822,500 43,028,800 43,299,500 45,718,900 43.889.400 44,165,500 46,633,300 44,767,200 45,048,800 47,566,000 45,662,500 45,949,800 48,517,300 46,575,800 46,868,800 49,487,600 47,507,310 47,806,200 50,477,310 5,927 5,964 6,297

Assumptions: value of motorists' time caught in congestion = \$16.25/hour; number of days per year with congestion = 270